STAKEHOLDER PREPAREDNESS REVIEW (SPR)

2018 Report
Nevada

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Table of Contents

SPR Overview .....
SPR Capability Assessment .....
Planning .....
Public Information and Warning.....
Operational Coordination.....
Forensics and Attribution.....
Intelligence and Information Sharing .....
Interdiction and Disruption.....
Screening, Search, and Detection .....
Access Control and Identity Verification .....
Cybersecurity .....
Physical Protective Measures.....
Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities .....
Supply Chain Integrity and Security.....
Community Resilience.....
Long-term Vulnerability Reduction.....
Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment.....
Threats and Hazards Identification .....
Critical Transportation .....
Environmental Response/Health and Safety .....
Fatality Management Services .....
Fire Management and Suppression.....
Logistics and Supply Chain Management.....
Mass Care Services .....
Mass Search and Rescue Operations .....
Operational Communications .....
Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services.....
Situational Assessment .....
Infrastructure Systems.....
Economic Recovery .....

Health and Social Services.....
Housing.....
Natural and Cultural Resources.....
THIRA/SPR Post-Assessment.....

SPR Overview

The SPR is an annual three-step self-assessment of a community's capability levels based on the capability targets identified in the THIRA. It helps answer the questions:

- What are our current capability levels and how have our capabilities changed over the last year?
- What gaps exist between the capabilities we want to achieve and the capabilities we currently have?
- What do we need to do to close the capability gaps or sustain the capabilities?
- What impact did different funding sources—including grants—have on building or sustaining the capabilities assessed by the capability targets over the last year?

The SPR follows a three-step process as described in *Comprehensive Preparedness Guide 201, Third Edition*

1. **Assess Capabilities.** Based on the language from the capability targets set in THIRA Step 3, identify the community's current capability and how that capability changed over the last year, including capabilities lost, sustained, and built. Then, provide additional context to explain the reported data and its sources.
2. **Identify Capability Gaps and Intended Approaches to Address Them.** Determine the causes of the capability gap between the capability target and the current capability identified in SPR Step 1. Then, describe the actions and investments needed to close the capability gap or sustain the capability.
3. **Describe the Impacts of Funding Sources.** Identify how relevant funding sources, including but not limited to grant programs and the community's own resources, helped to build or sustain the capabilities assessed by the capability targets and describe how those capabilities were used in a real-world incident(s) over the past year.

A core capability is comprised of several functional areas in which a community may have a gap. Each required standardized target addresses one or more functional areas. In addition to the three-step process described above, communities also identify gaps in planning, organization, equipment, training, and exercises (POETE) for functional areas not addressed by the standardized targets.

Report Overview

This report contains two sections:

- **SPR Capability Assessment**
  - Organized by core capability and functional area
  - Includes data from THIRA Step 3: Capability Targets and Steps 1-3 of SPR
  - Depicts current capability, changes in capability, capability gaps and approaches for addressing them, and the impact of funding sources on capability
  - Includes gaps in functional areas not addressed by the standardized targets
- **THIRA/SPR Post-Assessment**

SPR Capability Assessment

Planning

Functional Area(s) – Evaluating and Updating Plans, Operational Planning, Whole Community Involvement and Coordination

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within every 5 year(s), update all emergency operations plans that define the roles and responsibilities of 100 partner organizations involved in incident management across 9 jurisdictions affected, and the sequence and scope of tasks needed to prevent, protect, mitigate, respond to, and recover from events.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	48	0	48	2	50
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	0	9	0	9

Estimate of Current Capability

Within every 5 year(s), update all emergency operations plans that define the roles and responsibilities of 50 partner organizations involved in incident management across 9 jurisdictions affected, and the sequence and scope of tasks needed to prevent, protect, mitigate, respond to, and recover from events.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization	X	Organization	X	Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training	X	Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises	X	Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Update and review of State and local plans. Conducted several exercises
Capability Built
State-wide update of the State Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (SCEMP), which included adding an additional ESF 17 - Cyber Defense, added 4 Hazard/Threat

Specific Annexes and a Virtual Business EOC Annex. Revised and updated the Nevada Enhanced Hazard Mitigation Plan; State Mass Care and Evacuation Plan; Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), and the Continuity of Government Plan (COG). Delivered a variety of planning and organizational workshops at the Preparedness Summit. Conducted and participated in several exercises including the National Mass Care Exercise, Cyber Security TTX, and a CCTA TTX

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System - NRS 414A

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**4**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Other existing threat and hazard assessments Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) partner organizations involved in	100	50	50

incident management *			
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	9	0

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	State -wide plans were due to be reviewed and updated.
Organization	No	
Equipment	No	
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	State-wide update of several plans including the State Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan, Continuity Of Operations Plan, and the Continuity of Government Plan.
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source

EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Additional funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Additional funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Additional funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Additional funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Continuity Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Including Individuals with Disabilities or Access/ Functional Needs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Incorporating Risk Analyses	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Integrating Different Plans	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Pre-Incident Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Strategic Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Public Information and Warning

Functional Area(s) – Delivering Actionable Guidance, Alerts and Warnings, Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Messaging, Inclusiveness of the Entire Public

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 30 minute(s) notice of an incident, deliver reliable and actionable information to 2578147 people affected, including 515629 people with access and functional needs (affected) and 515629 people with limited English proficiency affected.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people affected *	2578147	0	2578147	0	2578147
(#) people with access and functional needs (affected) *	51563	0	51563	0	51563
(#) people with limited English proficiency affected *	51563	0	51563	0	51563

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 30 minute(s) notice of an incident, deliver reliable and actionable information to 2578147 people affected, including 51563 people with access and functional needs (affected) and 51563 people with limited English proficiency affected.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises	X	Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Upgraded hardware for state-wide Emergency Alert System. Acquired and sustained public alert and warning equipment. Continue to sustain Alert Sense, EAS/WEA, MOU's for IPAWS with FEMA; and VESTA Mass Notification system.
Capability Built
Conducted a full scale exercise for Northern NV community-wide evacuation.

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Mutual aid would result in more PIO staff being available over time, but mutual aid would have a limited impact on the immediate target of pushing out a warning within 30 minutes of an incident.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) After-Action Reports	There is capability to issue basic alerts within 30 minutes; however, the real challenge arises when determining what to communicate and the scope of the information to be provided in such a short window. Basic information will already be disseminated by the local media. Nor has this capability been tested in a real world event. Moreover, EAS is limited to English/Spanish speaking only; depending on broadcast carrier, messages may not be the same in writing as they are verbally given.

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people affected *	2578147	2578147	0
(#) people with access and functional needs (affected) *	515629	51563	464066
(#) people with limited English proficiency affected *	515629	51563	464066

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	The major gap is communicating to the AFN population or those with limited English proficiency. Several jurisdictions have access to IPAWS, but coordination is lacking for a large scale emergency. Need to be able to have print material in more languages in order to reach target audiences in outreach efforts.
Organization	Yes	Clark County Fire Department does not have a full time PIO to handle fire related questions following a major incident.
Equipment	Yes	AlertSense can only translate message into Spanish. Public information officers do not have a ready emergency splash page website to provide a hub of information to the public immediately after a major incident.
Training	Yes	Additional PIO training is needed to ensure new personnel are aware of plans and processes, and lessons learned from prior incidents and exercises.
Exercises	Yes	Exercises that test and evaluate PIOs and their role in a disaster is needed to ensure new personnel are aware of plans and processes.

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build	Develop policies and procedures for coordinating alert and warning activities across jurisdictions in the region, and for reaching the whole community through alerts and warnings.
Organization	Build	Explore hiring or designating a Clark County Fire Department PIO.
Equipment	Build	Expand AlertSense's capability to cover all relevant languages in the region for alert and warning purposes. Design an emergency splash page template for use at the onset of large-scale incidents.
Training	Build	Provide regular and ongoing PIO related training for the region's various PIOs.
Exercises	Build	Provide annual PIO related exercise for the region that brings the region's PIOs together to work through various disaster scenarios and lessons learned from prior incidents and exercises.

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Primary funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Developing Standard Operating Procedures for Public Information	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
New communications Tools and Technologies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Protecting Sensitive Information	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Awareness Campaigns	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Traditional Communications Mechanisms	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Operational Coordination

Functional Area(s) – Command, Control, and Coordination, National Incident Management System/Incident Command System Compliance, Stakeholder Engagement

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 2 hour(s) of a potential or actual incident, establish and maintain a unified and coordinated operational structure and process across 9 jurisdictions affected and with 100 partner organizations involved in incident management. Maintain for 4 week(s).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	0	9	0	9
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	50	0	50	30	80

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 2 hour(s) of a potential or actual incident, establish and maintain a unified and coordinated operational structure and process across 9 jurisdictions affected and with 80 partner organizations involved in incident management. Maintain for 4 week(s).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization	X	Organization	X	Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment	X	Equipment
	Training	X	Training	X	Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises	X	Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
To sustain this past year the State has provided state-wide training in ICS, and Hazard Position Specific Training and participated in numerous exercises to test this capability. In the Quad County area they formally adopted the Western Region MCI plan and the Western Region Medical Surge Plan. They also purchased additional equipment, provided training and conducted a TTX with regional partners.Maintenance of equipment and operating systems at the State EOC. Sustained crisis information sharing capabilities, WebEOC and video conferencing.

Capability Built
In addition to providing state-wide training in ICS, and Hazard Position Specific Training, also developed and implemented Position Task Books for EOC. Upgraded equipment to gain the ability to utilize cloud base software for the video conference. Developed video switch/broadcast capability. Built a distant learning program and purchased radio equipment to become P25 compliant. Acquired and developed other technology to expand and enhance state-wide communications.

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	NRS 414 A - Through mutual aid the region would benefit from equipment asset transfers, and additional personnel. Mutual aid would be essential for the region to be able to maintain the target for 4 weeks. In addition four Tribal Nations have chosen to opt in to the state mutual aid system.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	9	0
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	100	80	20

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	Review and update of state wide and tribal plans
Organization	Yes	Significant gaps within Tribal organization
Equipment	Yes	Significant gaps within Tribal equipment needs
Training	Yes	Significant gaps within Tribal and state-wide training
Exercises	Yes	Significant gaps within Tribal Exercises

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Updated several major state-wide plans such as the SCEMP, COOP and COG. Development and implementation of Mutual Aid System Policy and Procedures. Reorganization of the Inter-tribal Emergency Response Organization
Organization	Build and Sustain	Reorganization of the Inter-tribal Emergency Response Organization
Equipment	Build and Sustain	Reorganization of the Inter-tribal Emergency Response Organization
Training	Build and Sustain	Provided state-wide training in ICS, and Hazard Position Specific Training and developed and implemented Position Task Books for EOC. Reorganization of the Inter-tribal Emergency Response Organization.
Exercises	Build and Sustain	Reorganization of the Inter-tribal Emergency Response Organization.

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source

PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Allocating and Mobilizing Resources	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Determining Priorities, Objectives, Strategies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Emergency Operations Center Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ensuring Information Flow	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ensuring Unity of Effort	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ensuring Continuity of Government and Essential Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Establishing a Common Operating Picture	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Establishing Lines of Communication	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Establishing Roles and Responsibilities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Forensics and Attribution

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Intelligence and Information Sharing

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Interdiction and Disruption

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Screening, Search, and Detection

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Access Control and Identity Verification

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Cybersecurity

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Physical Protective Measures

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Risk Management for Protection Programs and Activities

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Supply Chain Integrity and Security

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Community Resilience

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Long-term Vulnerability Reduction

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Threats and Hazards Identification

Functional Area(s) – None

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

N/A

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Estimate of Current Capability

N/A

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning		
Organization		
Equipment		
Training		
Exercises		

Timeframe to implement these approaches	

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
Other Source	

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants
Other Source

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Critical Transportation

Functional Area(s) – Evacuation

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 72 hour(s) notice of an impending incident, complete the evacuation of 116030 people requiring evacuation, including 38575 people with access and functional needs (requiring evacuation).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring evacuation *	18500	0	18500	0	18500
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring evacuation) *	1500	0	1500	0	1500

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 72 hour(s) notice of an impending incident, complete the evacuation of 18500 people requiring evacuation, including 1500 people with access and functional needs (requiring evacuation).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Each county is required to maintain an evacuation annex to their EOP to provide for the orderly and coordinated evacuation of all or any part of the population of the region if it is determined that such action is the most effective means available for protecting the population from the effects of an emergency situation. Conducted an Incline Village full-scale exercise to test this capability.
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System - NRS 414A and regional mutual aid will be essential in meeting this target. The immediate area of response personnel would be consumed with assisting their own jurisdiction.

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring evacuation *	116030	18500	97530
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring evacuation) *	38575	1500	37075

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	While general evacuation plans exist, additional planning for evacuating large number of people with AFN needs to take place.
Organization	Yes	There is a gap in the planning and organization to address the large number of people with AFN requiring evacuation
Equipment	Yes	There is a gap in obtaining equipment to address the large number of people and people with AFN requiring evacuation.
Training	Yes	There is a gap in providing training efforts to address the large number of people with AFN requiring evacuation.
Exercises	Yes	There is a gap in conducting exercises to address the large number people with AFN requiring evacuation.

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Sustain	Support jurisdictions in building their mass evacuation annex to better address moving the large number of people and people with AFN.
Organization	Not Applicable	Support jurisdictions in building their mass evacuation annex to better address moving the large number of people and people with AFN.
Equipment	Sustain	Jurisdictions need to look into additional equipment needed to address the large number of people and people with AFN requiring evacuation.
Training	Sustain	Support jurisdictions in training efforts to build their mass evacuation annex.
Exercises	Sustain	Support jurisdictions in building their mass evacuation annex through exercises

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**More than 3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source

CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding.

Functional Area(s) – Debris Removal, Establishing Access

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 1 week(s) of an incident, clear 5208 miles of road affected, to enable access for emergency responders, including private and non-profit.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) miles of road affected *	2500	0	2500	0	2500

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 1 week(s) of an incident, clear 2500 miles of road affected, to enable access for emergency responders, including private and non-profit.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Updated the state Debris Management Plan. State agencies updated equipment and training to sustain capability.
Capability Built
Updated the state Debris Management Plan

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Additional vehicles and personnel from across Nevada and the nation would be used to support the region's debris removal operations. This would likely increase both the speed and capacity to remove debris. However, how quickly and to what capacity mutual aid could assist the region would be contingent on the ability to move personnel and material into the region, and that is very difficult to know in the absence of specific facts on the ground. Unlike areas of the country that have experience with hurricanes and the resulting debris, this region has very limited experience in this area on such a massive scale. Both the Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System - (NRS 414A) and EMAC would be used to assist meeting this capability.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) miles of road affected *	5208	2500	2708

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	A significant portion of this capability is reliant on ESF 1
Organization	Yes	A significant portion of this capability is reliant on ESF 1
Equipment	Yes	A significant portion of this capability is reliant on ESF 1. This state agency is in need of updating and replacing equipment
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Build stronger plans to address this capability
Organization	Build and Sustain	Build stronger organizational plans to address this capability
Equipment	Sustain	Update and purchase of additional equipment

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	

N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Airspace Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Delivery of Response Assets	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Reentering Affected Area	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Transportation Safety and Condition Assessments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Environmental Response/Health and Safety

Functional Area(s) – Hazardous Material Clean-Up

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 8 hour(s) of an incident, assess, contain, and begin cleaning up hazardous material releases from 1437 hazmat release sites.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) hazmat release sites *	100	0	100	0	100

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 8 hour(s) of an incident, assess, contain, and begin cleaning up hazardous material releases from 100 hazmat release sites.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Sustained by planning, training and exercise with Hazmat Triad and Quad County teams
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Regional Haz-Mat agreements are used for all hazardous materials releases. Resources needed over and above these agreements maybe met through NRS 414A.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) hazmat release sites *	1437	100	1337

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	Currently do not have enough personnel to address worst case scenario.
Equipment	Yes	Currently do not have enough equipment to address worst case scenario.
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build and Sustain	Request additional funding as required for personnel to meet this gap
Equipment	Build and Sustain	Request additional funding as required for equipment to meet this gap
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding

Functional Area(s) – Decontamination

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 7 day(s) of a hazmat incident, complete decontamination procedures for 100221 exposed individuals (hazmat-related incidents).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) exposed individuals (hazmat-related incidents) *	5400	0	5400	0	5400

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 7 day(s) of a hazmat incident, complete decontamination procedures for 5400 exposed individuals (hazmat-related incidents).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Local jurisdiction have been able to sustain current capability
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	NRS 414A and EMAC

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) exposed individuals (hazmat-related incidents) *	100221	5400	94821

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description

Planning	Yes	Based on the catastrophic nature of the incident additional planning is needed
Organization	Yes	Based on the catastrophic nature of the incident additional personnel is needed
Equipment	Yes	Based on the catastrophic nature of the incident additional equipment is needed
Training	Yes	Based on the catastrophic nature of the incident additional training is needed
Exercises	Yes	Based on the catastrophic nature of the incident additional exercises are needed

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	To sustain and build capability for this large of a incident would take support from NRS 414A and EMAC
Organization	Build and Sustain	To sustain and build capability for this large of a incident would take support from NRS 414A and EMAC
Equipment	Build and Sustain	To sustain and build capability for this large of a incident would take support from NRS 414A and EMAC
Training	Build and Sustain	To sustain and build capability for this large of a incident would take support from NRS 414A and EMAC
Exercises	Build and Sustain	To sustain and build capability for this large of a incident would take support from NRS 414A and EMAC

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source

IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Debris Removal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Environmental Impact Analysis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Health and Safety Monitoring and Assessment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Predictive Modeling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Responder Safety	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Survivor Safety and Assistance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Fatality Management Services

Functional Area(s) – Body Recovery, Mortuary Services, Victim Identification

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 4 month(s) of an incident, complete the recovery, identification, and mortuary services, including temporary storage services, for 1502 fatalities.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) fatalities *	200	0	200	0	200

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 4 month(s) of an incident, complete the recovery, identification, and mortuary services, including temporary storage services, for 200 fatalities.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Sustained by planning, training and exercise
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	NRS 414A and EMAC would be required

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) fatalities *	1502	200	1302

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

High Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	Not enough personnel resources to meet this capability.
Equipment	Yes	Not enough equipment to meet this capability.
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build and Sustain	We would need assistance from state mutual aid and sources outside of the state.
Equipment	Build and Sustain	We would need assistance from state mutual aid and sources outside of the state.
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funds

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Bereavement Counseling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Family Reunification	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Fire Management and Suppression

Functional Area(s) – Structural Firefighting

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 2 week(s) of an incident, conduct fire fighting operations to suppress and extinguish 1245 structure fires.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) structure fires *	630	0	630	0	630

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 2 week(s) of an incident, conduct fire fighting operations to suppress and extinguish 630 structure fires.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Fire Services have sustained personnel and equipment across the region.
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Automatic and Mutual Aid is required for multiple fires. Would also need assistance from EMAC

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) structure fires *	1245	630	615

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

High Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	Not enough personnel to perform required task
Equipment	Yes	Not enough equipment in the regional area to perform the task
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Sustain	Continue use of mutual aid and state and federal resources
Equipment	Sustain	Continue use of mutual aid and state and federal resources
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Additional funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Extended Attack Firefighting	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Initial Attack Firefighting	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Specialized Firefighting	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Wildland Firefighting	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Logistics and Supply Chain Management

Functional Area(s) – Resource Management, Resource Delivery

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 48 hour(s) of an incident, identify and mobilize life-sustaining commodities, resources, and services to 114043 people requiring shelter and 218500 people requiring food and water. Maintain distribution system for 4 week(s).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring shelter *	1500	0	1500	0	1500
(#) people requiring food and water *	3000	0	3000	0	3000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 48 hour(s) of an incident, identify and mobilize life-sustaining commodities, resources, and services to 1500 people requiring shelter and 3000 people requiring food and water. Maintain distribution system for 4 week(s).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training	X	Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises	X	Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Review and updated plans. Participated in the National Mas Care exercise
Capability Built
Built position specific task-books and training. Conducted a joint exercise on Logistic and Resource Ordering.

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Would require the use of state mutual aid - NRS 414A

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring shelter *	114043	1500	112543
(#) people requiring food and water *	218500	3000	215500

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	We do not have the personnel to meet this capability.

Equipment	Yes	We do not have the equipment to meet this capability.
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build and Sustain	We would need the assistance from NGO's and EMAC to meet this need.
Equipment	Build and Sustain	We would need the assistance from NGO's and EMAC to meet this need.
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source

TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Access to Community Staples	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Donation Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Emergency Power Provision	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Fuel Support	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Private Resources	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Resource Tracking	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Supply Chain Restoration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Volunteer Management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Mass Care Services

Functional Area(s) – Sheltering, Ensuring Access, Feeding, Hydration, Pets, Resource Distribution

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 24 hour(s) of an incident, provide emergency sheltering, food, and water for 104043 people requiring shelter and 218500 people requiring food and water, including 35300 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible shelter) and 70225 people with access and functional needs (requiring food and water), and 60500 animals requiring shelter, food, and water. Maintain for 4 week(s).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring shelter *	1000	0	1000	0	1000
(#) people requiring food and water *	2500	0	2500	0	2500
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible shelter) *	300	0	300	0	300
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring food and water) *	750	0	750	0	750
(#) animals requiring shelter, food, and water *	1000	0	1000	0	1000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 24 hour(s) of an incident, provide emergency sheltering, food, and water for 1000 people requiring shelter and 2500 people requiring food and water, including 300 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible shelter) and 750 people with access and functional needs (requiring food and water), and 1000 animals requiring shelter, food, and water. Maintain for 4 week(s).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization	X	Organization	X	Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment	X	Equipment
	Training	X	Training	X	Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises	X	Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Participated in the National Mass Care Exercise. Built stronger relationships with local mass care reps and the American Red Cross. Updated the State Mass Care Plan, conducted Shelter training of the Quad Counties.
Capability Built
This year we participated in the National Mass Care Exercise. Built stronger relationships with local mass care reps and the American Red Cross. Updated the State Mass Care Plan. Added a shelter trailer in Douglas County and conducted Shelter training of the Quad Counties.

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - NRS 414A and EMAC

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**4**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring shelter *	104043	1000	103043

(#) people requiring food and water *	218500	2500	216000
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible shelter) *	35300	300	35000
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring food and water) *	70225	750	69475
(#) animals requiring shelter, food, and water *	60500	1000	59500

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	We need to increase planning for animal care
Organization	Yes	Personnel Shortage. We do not have enough trained shelter personnel.
Equipment	No	
Training	Yes	We do not have enough trained personnel to complete the task
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Work closely with ESF 11 to build this capacity
Organization	Build and Sustain	Continue building relationships with state agencies and NGO partners.
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Build	Deliver more Mass Care and AFN training
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source

Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

Functional Area(s) – Relocation Assistance

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 8 week(s) of an incident, move 21200 people requiring temporary, non-congregate housing, including 7075 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible, temporary, non-congregate housing), from congregate care to temporary housing.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring temporary, non-congregate housing *	1000	0	1000	0	1000
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible, temporary, non-congregate housing) *	200	0	200	0	200

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 8 week(s) of an incident, move 1000 people requiring temporary, non-congregate housing, including 200 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible, temporary, non-congregate housing), from congregate care to temporary housing.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Reviewed and updated plans
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - NRS 414A

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring temporary, non-congregate housing *	21200	1000	20200
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible, temporary, non-congregate housing) *	7075	200	6875

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	We do not have the housing availability to complete this task
Equipment	No	
Training	No	
Exercises	Yes	Need to exercise the new plan

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Organization	Build	We would have to look to FEMA for assistance with additional housing.
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Build	Exercise the Response and Recovery plan

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**More than 3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A

FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding.

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Family Reunification	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Mass Search and Rescue Operations

Functional Area(s) – Rescue Operations, Search Operations, Community-Based Search and Rescue Support

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 7 day(s) of an incident, conduct search and rescue operations for 50000 people requiring rescue.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring rescue *	25000	0	25000	0	25000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 7 day(s) of an incident, conduct search and rescue operations for 25000 people requiring rescue.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
The region maintains a Search and Rescue annex to the region's EOP, but this annex has not been updated since 2011. The region has sustained heavy rescue capability, and Type I search and rescue teams have sustained search dogs
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	The target can be met with the inclusion of mutual aid. However, in the earliest time of the target, access to mutual aid resources will be limited due to the damage caused by the earthquake and the inability of resources to get into the region.

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**4**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) After-Action Reports	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring rescue *	50000	25000	25000

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	Major gap is in reunification of people with their families following rescue. This gap was a major issue during the 10/1/17 mass shooting incident.
Organization	Yes	Major gap is in reunification of people with their families following rescue. This gap was a major issue during the 10/1/17 mass shooting incident.
Equipment	Yes	Major gap is in reunification of people with their families following rescue. This gap was a major issue during the 10/1/17 mass shooting incident.

Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build	Put contracts in place with the private sector to access new patient/personnel tools and resources.
Organization	Build	Designate a search and rescue coordinator for better communication and information sharing with hospitals.
Equipment	Build	Invest in disaster victim tracking software.
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**More than 3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Primary funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source

TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Specialized Operations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Synchronizing Operations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

On-scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement

Functional Area(s) – Law Enforcement, Protecting Response Personnel, Securing Disaster Areas

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 12 hour(s) of an incident, provide security and law enforcement services to protect emergency responders and 1015744 people affected.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people affected *	1015744	0	1015744	0	1015744

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 12 hour(s) of an incident, provide security and law enforcement services to protect emergency responders and 1015744 people affected.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
The region has sustained its Multi-Assault Counter-Terrorism Action Capabilities (MACTAC) program whereby all responding agencies have consistent tactics allowing any officer from any agency to work together with as little confusion as possible. The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) is the host agency for the MACTAC regional concept. The region has sustained the Las Vegas Fire & Rescue Bomb Squad through equipment and training. The squad is the only FBI accredited bomb squad in Southern Nevada. This squad supports Clark, Esmeralda, Lincoln and Nye Counties. Las Vegas Fire & Rescue also provides support for St George, UT, Bullhead City, AZ and their surrounding areas.
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	During emergency situations, the region will use local law enforcement resources as well as those available pursuant to automatic and mutual aid plans; and agreements with industry to the extent that they are available. State police resources within and outside the region are available upon request by the County Sheriff. These mutual aid resources would help ensure adequate law enforcement coverage across the entire region while meeting the capability target. The Southern Nevada Fire Operations (SNFO) Hostile MCI working group was established in 2013. It consisted of 14 representatives from all Southern Nevada fire and law enforcement agencies. The working group met monthly to develop an integrated fire response policy and Incident Command System (ICS) training to augment law enforcement's existing response policies addressing active shooter incidents (ASIs) and complex coordinated attacks (CCAs). All Southern Nevada fire departments adopted the Hostile MCI response policy, which outlines the integration of fire with law enforcement on incidents with a hostile element and potential for large loss of life. Nearly 5,000 Southern Nevada fire and law enforcement personnel will utilize this policy in the event of a large-scale hostile incident and/or CCA.

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**2**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) After-Action Reports	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability	Estimated	Capability Gap

	Target	Current Capability	
(#) people affected *	1015744	1015744	0

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

High Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	No	
Equipment	No	
Training	Yes	While some LVMPD officers received MACTAC training as early as a year ago, others have not completed a formal training in years or at all.
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Review polices and procedures for the pre-deployment of tactical vehicles, vests, and other equipment at special events to enhance readiness in the event of an armed attack.
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Build and Sustain	Review potential policy changes to ensure both law enforcement and fire department personnel participate in mandatory joint Active Assailant, MACTAC, and ICS training on an annual basis. Continue to provide reality-based training, and high-rise assault training for first responders in the region. Conduct training on secondary searches following incidents of mass violence.
Exercises	Sustain	Continue to provide no-notice Rescue Task Force drills, active shooter drills to responders and command staff from all disciplines in the region.

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source

Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Primary funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Additional funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

In response to the October 1, 2017 mass shooting in Las Vegas, the region used both FEMA and non-FEMA funded law enforcement capabilities to respond to the attack. Heavy local and grant funded investments in MACTAC and Hostile Mass Casualty Incident (MCI) training, as well as other formal training investments by CCFD, LVMPD, and surrounding jurisdictions, proved especially valuable. Responders cited training and exercises as being responsible for their ability to mount an effective response. On the day of the attack, 51 personnel worked special events overtime -1 lieutenant, 5 sergeants, 44 officers, and 1 civilian. There were 9 responding law enforcement agencies; 4 SWAT teams; 50 strike teams; 2 air units; the LVMPD ARMOR team; several hundred responding officers; and 1 armored vehicle and 1 drone were deployed.

Operational Communications

Functional Area(s) – Interoperable Communications Between Responders

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 8 hour(s) of an incident, establish interoperable communications across 9 jurisdictions affected and with 100 partner organizations involved in incident management. Maintain for 4 week(s).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	0	9	0	9
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	30	0	30	0	30

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 8 hour(s) of an incident, establish interoperable communications across 9 jurisdictions affected and with 30 partner organizations involved in incident management. Maintain for 4 week(s).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment	X	Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Sustain through drills, exercises and real-world events.
Capability Built
Purchase of communication equipment to meet P25 compliance. Completed the final phase of a multi-year project and state-wide test on cross-band repeaters. Awarded to SLIGP 2.0 grant for state-wide interoperable planning.

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System - NRS 414A

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) jurisdictions affected *	9	9	0
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	100	30	70

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	Gap in state-wide interoperable communication planning.

Organization	No	
Equipment	Yes	Gap in communication equipment.
Training	Yes	Need additional training for state-wide interoperable communication. Need continual training on current and new equipment and technology.
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Awarded to SLIGP 2.0 grant for state-wide interoperable planning.
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Build and Sustain	Purchase additional communication equipment.
Training	Build and Sustain	Provide training on state-wide communications and on new and current equipment and technology.
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source

PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Communication Between Responders and the Affected Population	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Data Communications	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Re-Establishing Communications Infrastructure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Re-Establishing Critical Information Networks	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Voice Communications	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services

Functional Area(s) – Triage and Initial Stabilization, Emergency Medical Services, Definitive Care

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 3 day(s) of an incident, complete triage, begin definitive medical treatment, and transfer to an appropriate facility 75432 people requiring medical care.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring medical care *	1000	0	1000	0	1000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 3 day(s) of an incident, complete triage, begin definitive medical treatment, and transfer to an appropriate facility 1000 people requiring medical care.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning		Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Training and exercises, as well as real world incidents. Conducted a Quad County Medical Surge Exercise
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - NRS 414A

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring medical care *	75432	1000	74432

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	Not enough trained personnel
Equipment	No	Not enough equipment in the area

Training	No	
Exercises	No	Need to practice reception and integration of surge personnel and equipment.

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build and Sustain	Will need EMAC and Federal support
Equipment	Build and Sustain	Will need EMAC and Federal support
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Build and Sustain	Conduct an exercise to practice reception and integration of surge personnel and equipment.

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source

Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Clinical Laboratory Testing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Health Assessments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Medical Countermeasures	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Medical Surge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Health Interventions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Situational Assessment

Functional Area(s) – Delivering Situation Reports, Stakeholder Engagement

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 12 hour(s) of incident, and on a 12 hour(s) cycle thereafter, provide notification to leadership and 100 partner organizations involved in incident management of the current and projected situation. Maintain for 4 week(s).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	30	0	30	0	30

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 12 hour(s) of incident, and on a 12 hour(s) cycle thereafter, provide notification to leadership and 30 partner organizations involved in incident management of the current and projected situation. Maintain for 4 week(s).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment	X	Equipment
	Training	X	Training	X	Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Updated planning, training and exercise
Capability Built
In addition to providing state-wide training in ICS, and Hazard Position Specific Training, also developed and implemented Position Task Books for EOC. Upgraded equipment to gain the ability to utilize cloud base software for the video conference. Improved data speed in the SEOC. Developed video switch/broadcast capability. Purchased radio equipment to become P25 compliant. Acquired and developed other technology to expand and enhance state-wide communications.

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - NRS 414A

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) partner organizations involved in incident management *	100	30	70

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	

Organization	Yes	Not enough personnel to support this catastrophic event
Equipment	No	
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Sustain	We would have to request additional personnel through EMAC.
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Additional funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source

THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff are supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Analyzing Information	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Assessing Hazard Impacts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Tracking Response Activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Infrastructure Systems

Functional Area(s) – Water Treatment and Provision

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 6 month(s) of an incident, restore service to 285000 customers (without water service).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) customers (without water service) *	250000	100000	150000	0	150000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 6 month(s) of an incident, restore service to 150000 customers (without water service).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
X	Organization		Organization		Organization
X	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
We wold lose the workforce and organizational structure to rebuild infrastrtucture
Capability Sustained
Reviewed plan
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
No	

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) customers (without water service) *	285000	150000	135000

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	We would not have enough public works personnel in the region.
Equipment	Yes	We would not have enough equipment to perform required repairs.
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Organization	Build	Request additional workers from private partners, state and federal government.
Equipment	Build	Request additional equipment from private partners, state and federal government.
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Primary funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A

FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

Functional Area(s) – Sanitation

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 6 month(s) of an incident, restore service to 292000 customers (without wastewater service).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) customers (without wastewater service) *	250000	100000	150000	0	150000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 6 month(s) of an incident, restore service to 150000 customers (without wastewater service).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
X	Organization		Organization		Organization
X	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
We would lose the workforce and organizational structure to rebuild infrastructure
Capability Sustained
Sustained through responding to real-life events
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	NRS 414A

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) customers (without wastewater service) *	292000	150000	142000

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	Yes	Not enough Public Works personnel in the region
Equipment	Yes	Not enough equipment to perform required repairs
Training	No	

Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build	Request additional personnel from private partners, state and federal assistance
Equipment	Build	Request additional equipment from private partners, state and federal assistance
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Primary funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	

Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

Functional Area(s) – Communications Systems

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 5 day(s) of an incident, restore service to 446481 customers (without communication service).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) customers (without communication service) *	446481	0	446481	0	446481

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 5 day(s) of an incident, restore service to 446481 customers (without communication service).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment	X	Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability has been sustained through local and state level response plans, and private

sector maintenance of communications infrastructure.
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	Mutual aid will be essential for achieving the target, and will be received primarily through ESF 2 - Telecommunications and Information Technology, which provides coordination of state actions to ensure communications support for state and local emergency and disaster response, coordination, and the establishment of temporary communications in the impacted region. This includes the repair and installation of a central telephone system. State telecommunications teams will coordinate with Telco carriers such as AT&T and CenturyLink to install temporary services on an escalated basis in locations that are deemed critical. They would also work with other Division of Enterprise Information Technology Systems units, such as the SilverNet WAN group, to ensure that voice and data links can be restored in a rapid fashion when necessary. It is anticipated that State personnel will provide operation and maintenance of Nevada's microwave and fiber optic networks, the Network Transport Services (NTS). NTS provides transport of critical voice, data, and video telecommunications circuits in support of Federal, State, and Local Government public safety communications.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**2**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Modeling or tools	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) customers (without communication service) *	446481	446481	0

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	Need better coordination and understanding of inventory of cellular sites and other systems from private sector providers.
Organization	No	
Equipment	No	
Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build	Staffing to develop protocols and procedures for critical lifeline restoration and a better understanding of private sector infrastructure and communications impacts and restoration.
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Not a known funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Primary funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	

AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported with grant funding

Functional Area(s) – Power Restoration

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 30 day(s) of an incident, restore service to 446481 customers (without power service).

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability

(#) customers (without power service) *	446481	0	446481	0	446481

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 30 day(s) of an incident, restore service to 446481 customers (without power service).

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
X	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Capability sustained through routine training and exercises, ongoing risk assessments, modifications of plans.
Capability Built

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	There are mutual aid agreements in place and partners that would need to be brought in quickly. This includes through ESF-12, Energy which gathers, assesses, and shares information on energy system damage and estimates the impact of energy system outages within the affected areas.The challenge will be getting the right and qualified personnel and material into the region following the disaster, and then the ability to complete damage assessments quickly. This is a very specialized industry with highly trained personnel that have to go through extensive background investigations.

Mutual Aid

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**2**

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Modeling or tools	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) customers (without power service) *	446481	446481	0

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

High Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	
Organization	No	
Equipment	No	
Training	Yes	There are not enough qualified and trained personnel to support the damage assessment and power restoration process.
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Build and Sustain	Provide specialized training to additional personnel to serve as backups for power restoration following a disaster.
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**Between 1-3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Not a known funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Primary funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps

Dams and Flood Control	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Food Production and Delivery	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Government Facilities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Heating Fuel Provision	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Hospitals	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Infrastructure Site Assessments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Recreation Facilities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Safety Facilities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Transportation Infrastructure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Economic Recovery

Functional Area(s) – Reopening Businesses

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 45 day(s) of an incident, reopen 18612 businesses closed due to the incident.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) businesses closed due to the incident *	15169	0	15169	0	15169

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 45 day(s) of an incident, reopen 15169 businesses closed due to the incident.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning	X	Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
The region maintains a Recovery annex to the regional EOP, but it has not been updated since 2011. There are annual conversations between emergency management and the private sector about economic recovery after a major incident.
Capability Built
Completed the State Recovery Framework update.

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	The region's Recovery Finance Section will provide financial services for the recovery effort to include record keeping, grant funding, equipment and materials, mutual aid, contracts, etc. However, significant federal assistance through SBA and other funds would be essential for long term recovery.

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**2**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Modeling or tools	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) businesses closed due to the incident *	18612	15169	3443

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	The region does not have a formal Disaster Recovery Framework with an Economic Recovery Support Function that is fully integrated with the State's Disaster Recovery Framework.
Organization	No	
Equipment	No	
Training	No	

Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build	Develop an Economic Recovery Support Function for the region.
Organization	Not Applicable	
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Additional funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff is supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Business/Economic Continuity Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Developing Recovery Objectives	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Developing the Workforce	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Disseminating Information	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Economic Impact Assessments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Incentivizing Entrepreneurial and Business Development	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Management Planning	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Health and Social Services

Functional Area(s) – Healthcare Facilities and Coalitions, Social Services

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 6 week(s) of an incident, restore functions at 219 affected healthcare facilities and social service organizations.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) affected healthcare facilities and social service organizations *	110	0	110	0	110

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 6 week(s) of an incident, restore functions at 110 affected healthcare facilities and social service organizations.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training	X	Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Multiple plans, training events, and exercises.
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - NRS 414A and the Inter-Hospital Coordinating Council (IHCC)

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports County/Municipal THIRAs Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) affected healthcare facilities and social service organizations *	219	110	109

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	No	

Organization	Yes	Restoring health care facilities requires personnel. Even with the Medical Reserve Corps, we will be short.
Equipment	Yes	More equipment will be needed.
Training	Yes	Surge personnel require training.
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Not Applicable	
Organization	Build and Sustain	Work on building more relationship within the medical field
Equipment	Build and Sustain	Request assistance from private partners, State and Federal agencies
Training	Build and Sustain	Provide medical surge personnel training
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**More than 3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Not a known funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source

SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC Staff is supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Behavioral Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Determining Health and Social Needs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Ensuring Access	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Environmental Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Food Safety	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Health Assessment	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Medical Products and Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Awareness	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Public Health Measures	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Response and Recovery Worker Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
School Impacts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Housing

Functional Area(s) – Transition from Interim to Permanent/Long-Term Housing, Addressing Housing Shortages, Housing Accessibility

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 5 year(s) of an incident, 62200 people requiring long-term housing, including 21125 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible long-term housing), find and secure long-term housing.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) people requiring long-term housing *	3250	0	3250	0	3250
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible long-term housing) *	1000	0	1000	0	1000

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 5 year(s) of an incident, 3250 people requiring long-term housing, including 1000 people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible long-term housing), find and secure long-term housing.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization		Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises	X	Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
Conducted planning and organizational events. Participated in the National Mass Care exercise
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
Yes	State mutual aid - 414A and EMAC

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**3**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) Exercises After-Action Reports County/Municipal THIRAs Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Resource Inventory Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) people requiring long-term housing *	62200	3250	58950
(#) people with access and functional needs (requiring accessible long-term housing) *	21125	1000	20125

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	We have little experience and capability in this area.
Organization	Yes	We have little experience and capability in this area.
Equipment	No	
Training	Yes	We have little experience and capability in this area.
Exercises	Yes	We have little experience and capability in this area.

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build and Sustain	Involve more people from the Housing Authority to assist in planning
Organization	Build and Sustain	Involve more people from the Housing Authority to assist.
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Build and Sustain	Conduct cooperative training incorporating the housing division
Exercises	Build and Sustain	This is a difficult area to exercise

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**More than 3 years**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Not a known funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source

PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source
TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC supported by grant funding

SPR: Other Functional Areas

Functional Areas	Planning	Organization	Equipment	Training	Exercises	No Gaps
Housing Affordability	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Housing Assessments	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Reconstruction of Destroyed Housing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Rehabilitation of Damaged Housing	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Natural and Cultural Resources

Functional Area(s) – Environmental Preservation and Restoration, Historic Preservation, Damage Assessment

SPR Step 1: Assess Capabilities

Capability Target

Within 5 year(s) of an incident, restore 5015 damaged natural and cultural resources and historic properties registered in the jurisdiction.

Quantitative Capability Assessment

Metric	Estimated Beginning Capability	Capability Lost	Capability Sustained	Capability Built	Estimated Current Capability
(#) damaged natural and cultural resources and historic properties registered in the jurisdiction *	255	0	255	0	255

Estimate of Current Capability

Within 5 year(s) of an incident, restore 255 damaged natural and cultural resources and historic properties registered in the jurisdiction.

POETE Areas

Capability Lost In:		Sustainment Investments In:		Building Investments In:	
	Planning	X	Planning		Planning
	Organization	X	Organization		Organization
	Equipment		Equipment		Equipment
	Training		Training		Training
	Exercises		Exercises		Exercises

Capability Change Descriptions

Capability Lost
Capability Sustained
We have had some discussion regarding this capability
Capability Built

Mutual Aid

Do you have a mutual aid agreement(s) relevant to achieving this target?	If yes, please describe how mutual aid impacts your ability to meet the capability target
No	

Additional Context for Capability Assessment

Confidence Rating on 5-Point Scale What is your confidence in the accuracy of your capability assessment? *(1 – Lower Confidence – 5 – Higher Confidence)*	**2**

Source name	Provide any additional context required to support your capability assessment (Optional)
Real-world events Response plans Hazard mitigation plans (including Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment) Subject-matter experts (SMEs) County/Municipal THIRAs Prior year THIRAs Capability Assessments (such as the SPR) Improvement plans	

SPR Step 2: Identify and Address Capability Gaps

Impact Category	Capability Target	Estimated Current Capability	Capability Gap
(#) damaged natural and cultural resources and historic properties registered in the jurisdiction *	5015	255	4760

Priority of Fully Achieving Planning Target

Medium Priority

Capability Gaps

POETE Area	Gap	Description
Planning	Yes	We have little experience in this capability
Organization	Yes	We have little experience in this capability
Equipment	No	

Training	No	
Exercises	No	

Approaches for Addressing Capability Gaps and Sustainment Needs

POETE Area	Approach	Description
Planning	Build	A significant portion of required capacity will remain reliant on outside assets and higher levels of government.
Organization	Build	A significant portion of required capacity will remain reliant on outside assets and higher levels of government.
Equipment	Not Applicable	
Training	Not Applicable	
Exercises	Not Applicable	

Timeframe to implement these approaches	**No defined timeframe**

SPR Step 3: Describe Impacts of Funding Sources

Funding Sources to Sustain this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
State, territory, or tribe	Additional funding source
Local	Additional funding source
Private-sector or non-profit	Additional funding source
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
AFG	Not a known funding source
CCTA	Not a known funding source
CTP	Not a known funding source
EMPG	Not a known funding source
HMGP	Not a known funding source
FMAG	Not a known funding source
IBSGP	Not a known funding source
IPR	Not a known funding source
NSPG	Not a known funding source
OPSG	Not a known funding source
PDM	Not a known funding source
PRPA	Not a known funding source
PSGP	Not a known funding source
SHSP	Not a known funding source
THSGP	Not a known funding source

TSGP	Not a known funding source
UASI	Not a known funding source
Other Source	
Other	Not a known funding source

Funding Sources to Build this Capability	Type of Source
Non-Federal Sources	
N/A	N/A
FEMA Preparedness Mitigation Grants	
N/A	N/A
Other Source	
N/A	N/A

Use of Grant-Funded Capabilities in Real-World Incident

SEOC staff supported by grant funding

THIRA/SPR Post-Assessment

Government Department/Agency Participation

Department/Agency	Federal	State	Territory	County	UASI	Other City	Tribal	Other
Emergency Management / Homeland Security	1	1		15	1	9	2	
Fusion Center		1			1			
Law Enforcement / Public Safety	1	1		6	2			
Fire / EMS	1			13				
Public Health		1		2	1			
Historic / Cultural Resources					1			
Environment	1	2		1	1			
Transportation		1		2	2			
Agriculture								
Executive Branch								
Chief Information Officer (CIO)								
Chief information Security Officer (CISO)								

Non-Government Participation

Non-Government Organization	Number Participating
American Red Cross	1
Faith-based organization	
Other VOAD	2
Disability or access / functional needs community	1
Community advocacy group	2
Education community	4
Hospital / Healthcare organization	5
Utilities / Public works	2
Private sector / Business	2
Port authority / Other port organization	

Modeling and Visualization Tools

List of modeling or visualization tools that informed the THIRA	Flood maps HAZUS-MH

Participant List

Departments, agencies, non-governmental organizations, and jurisdictions that participated in the THIRA process	Emergency Management/Homeland Security: Division of Emergency Management, DHS - CISA County Emergency Managers: Carson, Churchill, Clark, Douglas, Elko, Esmeralda, Eureka, Humboldt, Lincoln, Lyon, Mineral, Nye, Pershing, Storey, Washoe, White Pine, PLPT, RSIC City Emergency Mangers: Elko, Ely, Fallon, Henderson, Las Vegas, Mesquite, North Las Vegas, Reno, Sparks Law Enforcement: Las Vegas Metro, Humboldt County Sheriff's Office, Esmeralda County Sheriff's Department, White Pine County Sheriff's Office, Winnemucca Police Department, Nevada Department of Public Safety Fire: Clark Co., Carson City, East Fork, Storey Co, Fallon, Lincoln, Ely, Elko, Pahrump, Reno, Sparks, White Pine County, TRIAD Hazmat Public Health: NV Division of Public and Behavior Health, Carson City Health & Human Services, Southern Nevada Health District, Washoe County Health District Environment: Nevada Division of Water Resources, Nevada Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Clark County Regional Flood Control District, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration - NWS Reno,

	Washoe County Community Services Dept. Transportation: NDOT, Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (Clark County), Regional Transportation Commission of Washoe County, Reno-Tahoe Airport Authority, Clark County Department of Aviation Other VOAD: Washoe Co. ARES/RACES, Civil Air Patrol Disability or AFN: Carson City Health & Human Services Community Advocacy: Washoe County Office of the Public Defender, Washoe County Human Services Agency Education: Clark County School District, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, University of Nevada, Reno, Truckee Meadow's Community College Hospital/ Healthcare: Quad County Healthcare Coalition; Southern Nevada Healthcare Preparedness Coalition, University Medical Center, REMSA, Inter-Hospital Coordinating Council Utilities/Public Works: Las Vegas Valley Water Dist., Clark Co Public Works Private Sector: Southwest Gas, NV Energy Other: Clark Co Parks & Rec, Nevada Threat Analysis Center, Southern Nevada Counter Terrorism Center, Nevada National Guard, FEMA USAR NV TF-1, Clark County Medical Examiner, Washoe County Regional Medical Examiner's Office

Most Progress/Greatest Danger

Most Progress Capabilities	Greatest Danger Capabilities
Planning Operational Coordination Mass Care Services	Long-term Vulnerability Reduction Economic Recovery Housing

Topics That Require Training

Please list three topics which require a training and/or education course(s) to be developed and offered in order to build and sustain core capabilities identified as shortfalls.	Communications, Incident/EOC Management and Housing Management

Training Courses Difficult to Obtain

Please list three training & education courses which are typically difficult to obtain but are necessary to build and sustain core capabilities identified as shortfalls.	EOC position specific, Mass Casualty and Communication Tech and Unit Leader,

Training Courses Critical for Closing Gaps

Please list three training & education courses which are critical priorities for closing the identified preparedness gaps.	Recovering from Disaster - Local Community Role, Direct Housing Management, Mass Care Assistance

Multi-Jurisdictional Collaboration Accomplishments

Please identify any promising practices for gathering data and making estimates for current capabilities as a result of working with sub-jurisdictions.	Incorporating all state training and exercises with local jurisdictions.

Hours to Complete THIRA/SPR

Hours to Complete THIRA	Hours to Complete SPR
960	960

Senior Advisory Committee

Does your SAC have a charter to direct the governance of your State Homeland Security (SHSP) or Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) program?	Yes

Have all members of the SAC signed and dated the charter showing their agreement with its content and their representation on the Committee?	Yes

Does your SAC Address the following?	
Key governance process	Yes
SAC composition	Yes
How existing governance bodies will be leveraged by the SAC	Yes
The frequency at which the SAC will meet	Yes
How the SAC is informed by the State's THIRA and SPR data reflecting capability gaps	Yes
The approach to address gaps in core capabilities	Yes
How decisions on programmatic priorities funded by SHSP and UASI are made	Yes
How decisions on programmatic priorities funded by SHSP and UASI will be documented and shared with members and other stakeholders	Yes
Roles and responsibilities for financial decision making and meeting administrative requirements	Yes

SAC Point of Contact		
Name	Email	Phone Number
Caleb Cage	cscage@dps.state.nv.us	7756870300